# HUMAN HEALTH BENEFITS FROM SULFATE REDUCTIONS UNDER TITLE IV OF THE 1990 CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS

Final Report

## HUMAN HEALTH BENEFITS FROM SULFATE REDUCTIONS UNDER TITLE IV OF THE 1990 CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS

#### Final Report

### Prepared for:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Air and Radiation
Office of Atmospheric Programs
Acid Rain Division

Prepared by:

Lauraine G. Chestnut

Hagler Bailly Consulting, Inc. P.O. Drawer O Boulder, Colorado 80306-1906

**Under Subcontract to:** 

ICF Incorporated 9300 Lee Highway Fairfax, Virginia 22031-1207

EPA Contract No. 68-D3-0005 Work Assignment No. 2F-03 and 3F-12

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Baxter Jones of ICF and Ann Watkins of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) for project management and review. We thank Rebecca Holmes, Fran Sussman, and Barry Galef of ICF and Brian McLean, Joe Kruger, Lester Grant, John Bachmann, Jim DeMocker, Allyson Siwik, Allen Basala, Eric Smith, and Trish Toman of U.S. EPA for helpful comments on drafts of the report. We also thank Charlie Richman of ICF and Sally Keefe and Angela Patterson of Hagler Bailly for data analysis and research assistance. Thanks to Robin Dennis for providing the RADM results on behalf of U.S. EPA. The report draws on experience and analyses performed by Hagler Bailly Consulting, for similar topics for other sponsors, during which Bart Ostro, of the California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, has made significant contributions. We also thank Jackie Cody and Tamara Anderson for production assistance.

A previous draft of this assessment was completed September 30, 1994. The 1994 draft was subject to the U.S. EPA peer review process. Peer reviews were provided by Morton Lippmann, New York University Medical Center; Bernard Weiss, University of Rochester Medical Center; David Bates, University of British Columbia; A. Myrick Freeman, Bowdoin College; Gardner Brown, University of Washington; and Lester Lave, Carnegie-Mellon University. Changes were made in the assessment and report based on the peer reviews of the 1994 draft. Responsibility for any remaining errors or omissions rests solely with the author.